UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/571,510	12/08/2006	Yitzhak Tor 1	5670-0198US1/SD2002-135	4141
20985 FISH & RICHA	7590 03/24/201 ARDSON, PC		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1022	2		PESELEV, ELLI	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1623	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/571,510	TOR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Elli Peselev	1623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	LVIO OET TO EVOIDE AM	ONTHEON OF THEFTY (OO) PAYO	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioner is provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuary reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON to, cause the application to become AB	CATION. uply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdreds 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyan ection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) \[\sum \] Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/30/2009.	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application	

Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention for the reasons set forth in the Office Action of September 30, 2009.

Applicant's arguments filed December 30, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant contends that the specification provides several references in the art that describe methods of conjugated compounds. The examiner agrees that the method of making the claimed compounds is enablement. However, the present invention is directed to the discovery that quanidinoglycoside containing conjugates can exhibit enhanced cellular uptake at target cells (specification [0004]). Only covalently conjugated compounds are described in paragraph [0008] of the specification. All the examples presented are directed to quanidinoaminoglycoside covalent conjugates. Applicant contends that the specification provides guidance for determining cellular uptake of the compounds. However said guidance invites further experimentation. The discovery that covalently conjugating pharmaceutically active compounds to quanidinoaminoglycosides results in enhanced cellular uptake of said active compounds would not have led a person having ordinary skill in the art to conclude that conjugating an beneficial compound by any means to any dialkoxy substance will also result in enhanced cellular uptake. Since the terms such as dialkoxy and glycoside encompass an enormous genus of compounds having a wide variation in their structural formulas, it

Application/Control Number: 10/571,510 Page 3

Art Unit: 1623

would take an enormous amount of trial and error to determine which of the compounds encompassed by the present claims would result in compounds having enhanced cellular uptake. Further note that the terminology "beneficial compound" is not limited to a pharmaceutically active compound.

Claims 33-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. the linker in the newly presented claims 33-40 is not disclosed described in the specification with respect to the full embodiment of said claims.

Applicant's arguments filed December 30, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The paragraphs [0051], [0054] and [0061]-[0063] have been considered. However, note that said paragraphs describe specific linkers bound to specific compounds while the present claims encompass a much broader genus of compounds and linkers.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Luedtke et al (J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 12035-12036).

Page 4

Luedtke et al disclose the claimed quanidinoglycosides bound to RNA.

Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on December 30, 2009 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 609.04(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is (571) 272-0659. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Jiang can be reached on (571) 272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/571,510 Page 5

Art Unit: 1623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Elli Peselev

/Elli Peselev/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1623